

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/197.547	11/23/98	CARDONA		E	KDC-9708
		QM11/0316 7		EXAMINER	
DUNCAN F BEAMAN 700 HARRIS BUILDING JACKSON MI 49201				HALPERN.B	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0110110011 111	1 2 2 2 3			3723	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

03/16/99



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/197,547

Applicant(s)

Cardona

Examiner

Benjamin M. Halpern

Group Art Unit 3723



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is see is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failu application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	lumber)
\square received in this national stage application from the	ne International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)2
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE DEFICE ACTION OF	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/197,547 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Javorik.

Javorik discloses a quick release lever 56 mounted on an operating lever 40. The quick release lever is capable of rendering the lock pawl spring means 36 inoperative with respect to biasing the lock pawl 30 toward the jack bar 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Javorik in view of Weaver et al..

Application/Control Number: 09/197,547 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Javorik discloses the elements of claim 4 except for the power pawl and lock pawl spring means comprising a single shaped leaf spring having first and second ends anchored to the power pawl and lock pawl anchors, respectively. Weaver et al. shows a spring means comprising a single shaped leaf spring having first and second ends anchored to first and second pawls, respectively. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the jack of Javorik by using a spring means comprising a single shaped leaf spring having first and second ends anchored to first and second pawls, respectively, as taught by Weaver et al. so that a single spring can be used to operate on both pawls.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ben Halpern at telephone number (703) 308-1411.

bmh

March 10, 1999